[redacted]

[redacted]

85-0447/

Ontario Geological Survey
Aggregate Resources Inventory
Paper 82

Aggregate Resources Inventory of Bentinck Township Grey County Southern Ontario

By Staff of the Engineering and Terrain Geology Section
Ontario Geological Survey

1984

Ontario
Ministry of Natural Resources

Hon. Alan W. Pope
Minister

John R. Sloan
Deputy Minister

©OMNR-OGS 1984

Publications of the Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources
and price list
are obtainable through the
Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources, Map Unit, Public Service Centre
Queen's Park, Toronto, Ontario
and
The Ontario Government Bookstore
880 Bay Street, Toronto, Ontario

Orders for publications should be accompanied by cheque
or money order, payable to the Treasurer of Ontario

ISSN 0708-2061
ISBN 0-7743-6470-X

This report was prepared by: Staff of the Aggregate Assessment Office, Engineering and Terrain Geology Section of the Ontario Geological Survey, Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources, 77 Grenville Street, Toronto, M5S 1B3, Telephone (416) 965-1182.

Project Supervisor: Dale W. Scott
Text Prepared by: D. Tennant
Compilation and Drafting by: Staff of the Aggregate Assessment Office

The Mineral Resources Staff of Owen Sound District and Southwestern Region of the Ministry of Natural Resources assisted in the collection of data, field checking and review of this report.

Parts of this publication may be quoted if credit is given to the Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources, Ontario Geological Survey. It is recommended that reference to this report be made in the following form:

Ontario Geological Survey
1984: Aggregate Resources Inventory of Bentinck Township, Grey County; Ontario Geological Survey, Aggregate Resources Inventory Paper 82, 39 p., 7 tables, 3 maps, scale 1:50 000.

Every possible effort is made to ensure the accuracy of the information contained in this report, but the Ministry of Natural Resources does not assume any liability for errors that may occur. Source references are included in the report and users may wish to verify critical information.

500-84-Spalding

CONTENTS

Page

Abstract v
Introduction 1
Part I - Inventory Methods 2
Field and Office Methods 2
Resource Tonnage Calculation Techniques 2
Sand and Gravel Resources 2
Bedrock Resources 3
Units and Definitions 3
Part II - Data Presentation and Interpretation 4
Map 1 Distribution of Sand and Gravel Deposits 4
Deposit Symbol 4
Texture Symbol 4
Map 2 Selected Sand and Gravel Resource Areas 5
Site Specific Criteria 5
Deposit Size 5
Aggregate Quality 5
Location and Setting 6
Regional Considerations 7
Map 3 Bedrock Resources 7
Selection Criteria 7
Selected Resource Areas 8
Part III - Assessment of Aggregate Resources in Bentinck Township 9
Location and Population 9
Physiography and Surficial Geology 9
Extractive Activity 10
Selected Sand and Gravel Resource Areas 10
Selected Sand and Gravel Resource Areas 1 and 2 11
Selected Sand and Gravel Resource Area 1 11
Selected Sand and Gravel Resource Area 2 11
Selected Sand and Gravel Resource Area 3 12
Selected Sand and Gravel Resource Area 4 12
Sand and Gravel Resource Areas of Secondary Significance 12
Bedrock Geology 13
Summary 13
References 28
Appendix A - Suggested Additional Reading 30
Appendix B - Glossary 31
Appendix C - Geology of Sand and Gravel Deposits 34
Appendix D - Geology of Bedrock Deposits 36

TABLES

1 - Total Sand and Gravel Resources, Bentinck Township 14
2 - Sand and Gravel Pits, Bentinck Township 16
3 - Selected Sand and Gravel Resource Areas, Bentinck Township 21
4 - Total Identified Bedrock Resources, Bentinck Township 22
5 - Quarries, Bentinck Township 23
6 - Selected Bedrock Resource Areas, Bentinck Township 24
7 - Summary of Test Hole Data, Bentinck Township 25

FIGURES

1 - Key Map Showing Location of Bentinck Township v
2 - Bedrock Geology of Southern Ontario 36

MAPS
(back pocket)

1 - Distribution of Sand and Gravel Deposits, Bentinck Township, Scale 1:50 000.
2 - Selected Sand and Gravel Resource Areas, Bentinck Township, Scale 1:50 000.
3 - Bedrock Resources, Bentinck Township, Scale 1:50 000.

ABSTRACT



Figure 1 - Key Map Showing the Location of Bentinck Township, Scale 1:1 800 000.

This report includes both an inventory and evaluation of sand and gravel as well as bedrock resources in Bentinck Township. The report is part of the Aggregate Resources Inventory Program for townships and municipalities designated under The Pits and Quarries Control Act, 1971.

The sand and gravel deposits in Bentinck Township are an important regional source of road-building and construction aggregate. The Primary Resource Areas in Bentinck cover 13,400 acres (5400 ha), exclusive of licenced properties, 10,800 acres (4400 ha) of which are considered to be available for extraction. The available areas contain possible resources of 540 million tons (490 million tonnes) of sand and gravel. The available portions of the selected areas represent 32 percent of the total area of sand and gravel deposits in the township and 32 percent of the original tonnage.

Four areas containing good-quality sand and gravel have been selected for possible resource protection at the primary level. Selected Resource Areas 1 and 2 are part of a large outwash deposit which constitutes the most important natural aggregate source in the township. An estimated 665 million tons (600 million tonnes) of aggregate are available from these resource areas. Two ice-contact gravel deposits with a combined available tonnage of 32 million tons (29 million tonnes) make up Selected Areas 3 and 4. In addition, several ice-contact and esker deposits have been selected as Sand and Gravel Areas of Secondary Significance. Although these secondary deposits are not the "best" resources, they should be considered as part of the township's aggregate supply.

The underlying bedrock units in Bentinck Township are the Guelph and Salina Formations. Generally, the drift thickness in central and western Bentinck makes excavation to bedrock uneconomical. Although the Guelph Formation is a valuable source of dolomitic lime, neither formation is suitable for the production of road-building or construction aggregate. Consequently, no bedrock resource areas were selected.

Selected Resource Areas are not intended to be permanent, single land use units which must be incorporated in an official planning document. They represent areas in which a major resource is known to exist. Such Resource Areas may be reserved wholly or partially for extractive development and/or resource protection within the context of the official plan.

AGGREGATE RESOURCES INVENTORY OF BENTINCK TOWNSHIP[1]

BY
STAFF OF THE ENGINEERING
AND TERRAIN GEOLOGY SECTION

INTRODUCTION

Mineral aggregates, which include bedrock-derived crushed stone as well as naturally formed sand and gravel, constitute the major raw material in Ontario's road-building and construction industries. Very large amounts of these materials are used each year throughout the Province. For example, in 1979, the total tonnage of mineral aggregates extracted was 144 million tons (131 million tonnes), greater than that of any other metallic or nonmetallic commodity mined in the Province (Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources 1980).

Although mineral aggregate deposits are plentiful in southern Ontario, they are fixed-location, nonrenewable resources which can be exploited only in those areas where they occur. Mineral aggregates are characterized by their high bulk and low unit value so that the economic value of a deposit is a function of its proximity to a market area as well as its quality and size. The potential for extractive development is usually greatest in urban fringe areas where land use competition is extreme. For these reasons the availability of adequate resources for future development is now being threatened in some areas.

Comprehensive planning and resource management strategies are required to make the best use of available resources, especially in those areas experiencing rapid development. Such strategies must be based on a sound knowledge of the total mineral aggregate resource base at both local and regional levels. The purpose of the Aggregate Resources Inventory is to provide the basic geological information required to include potential mineral aggregate resource areas in planning strategies and official plans. The reports should form the basis for discussion on those areas best suited for possible extraction. The aim is to assist decision-makers in protecting the public well-being by ensuring that adequate resources of mineral aggregate remain available for future use.

This report is a technical background document, based for the most part on geological information and interpretation. It has been designed as a component of the total planning process and should be used in conjunction with other planning considerations, to ensure the best use of a municipality's resources.

The report includes an assessment of sand, gravel and crushed bedrock. The most recent information available has been used to prepare the reports. As new information becomes available, revisions may be necessary.

[1] Manuscript accepted for publication by Chief, Engineering and Terrain Geology Section, August 25, 1983.

This paper is published with the permission of V.G. Milne, Director, Ontario Geological Survey.

PART I - INVENTORY METHODS

FIELD AND OFFICE METHODS

The methods used to prepare the report primarily involve the interpretation of published geological data such as bedrock and surficial geology maps and reports (see References). Field methods included the examination of natural and man-made exposures of granular material. Most observations were made at quarries and sand and gravel pits located from records held by the Ontario Ministry of Transportation and Communications, the Ontario Geological Survey, and by Regional and District Offices of the Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources. Observations made at pit sites included estimates of the total face height and the proportion of gravel- and sand-sized fragments in the deposit. Observations were also made of the shape and lithology of the particles. These characteristics are important in estimating the quality and quantity of the aggregate. In areas of limited exposure, test pitting, soil probing and hand-augering techniques were used to assess subsurface materials. Airphotos at various scales were used to determine the continuity of deposits, especially in areas of limited subsurface information.

Deposits with potential for further extractive development or those where existing data are scarce, were studied in greater detail. Representative layers in these deposits were sampled in 25- to 100-pound (11 to 45 kg) units either from existing pit faces or from test pits dug by backhoe. The samples were analysed for grain size distribution and in some cases for petrographic assemblage. Analyses were performed by the laboratories of the Soils and Aggregates Section, Engineering Materials Office, Ontario Ministry of Transportation and Communications. In areas of limited subsurface exposure, drilling using a powered hollow stem auger was undertaken. The stratigraphic sections in these test holes are described in drill logs included in the report as Table 7. In some cases samples taken during the course of the drilling were analysed to determine grain size distribution. The symbols for and locations of test hole sites are noted on Map 1.

In the office, the pit and field sample data were supplemented by information on file with the Soils and Aggregates Section of the Ontario Ministry of Transportation and Communications. Data contained in these files include field estimates of the depth, composition and "workability" of deposits as well as laboratory analyses of the physical properties and chemical suitability of the aggregate. Information concerning the development history of the pits and acceptable uses of the aggregate is also recorded. The location, size, and depth of extraction of pits licenced under The Pits and Quarries Control Act, 1971 were obtained from records held by Regional and District Offices of the Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources. The cooperation of the above named groups in the compilation of inventory data is gratefully acknowledged.

Water well records, held by the Ontario Ministry of the Environment, were used in some areas to corroborate thickness estimates, or to indicate the presence of buried granular material. These records were used only in conjunction with other evidence. Soil reports published by the Ontario Ministry of Agriculture and Food were also consulted in order to supply additional information in areas with limited data. Topographic maps of the National Topographic System, at a scale of 1:50 000, were used as a compilation base for the field and office data. The information was then transferred to a township base map, also at a scale of 1:50 000, prepared by the Cartography Section of the Lands and Waters Group, Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources, for presentation in the report.

RESOURCE TONNAGE CALCULATION TECHNIQUES

SAND AND GRAVEL RESOURCES

Once the interpretative boundaries of the aggregate units have been drawn, quantitative estimates of the possible resources available can be made. Generally, the volume of a deposit can be calculated if its areal extent and average thickness are known or can be estimated. The computation methods used are as follows. First, the area of the deposit, as outlined on the final base map, is calculated in acres. The thickness values used are an approximation of the deposit thickness, based on the face heights of pits developed in the deposit or on subsurface data

such as test holes and water well logs. Original tonnage values can then be calculated by multiplying the volume of the deposit by 2500 (the density factor). This factor is approximately the number of tons in a one-foot (0.3 m) thick layer of sand and gravel, one acre (0.4 ha) in extent, assuming an average density of 110 pounds per cubic foot (1766 kg per cubic metre).

Tonnage = Area x Thickness x Density Factor

Tonnage calculated in this manner must be considered only as an estimate. Furthermore, such tonnages represent amounts that existed prior to any extraction of material (i.e. original tonnage) (Table 1, Column 4).

The Selected Sand and Gravel Resource Areas in Table 3 represent only those parts of the deposit lying outside licenced areas (Column 2). Two successive subtractions are made from the unlicenced area. Column 3 accounts for the number of acres unavailable due to the presence of permanent cultural features and their associated setback requirements. Column 4 accounts for those areas lying outside of licenced properties that have previously been extracted (e.g. wayside pits are included in this category). The remaining figure is the area of the deposit currently available for extraction (Column 5). The available area is then multiplied by the estimated deposit thickness and the density factor (Column 5 x Column 6 x 2500) to give an estimate of the sand and gravel tonnage (Column 7) presently available for extractive development and/or resource protection.

BEDROCK RESOURCES

The method used to calculate resources of bedrock-derived aggregate is much the same as that described above. The areal extent of favorable bedrock formations overlain by less than 50 feet (15 m) of unconsolidated overburden is determined from bedrock geology maps, drift thickness and bedrock topography maps and from the interpretation of water well records. The measured extent of such areas is then multiplied by the estimated workable thickness of the formation, based on stratigraphic analyses and on estimates of existing quarry faces in the unit. In some cases a standardized estimate of a workable thickness of 60 feet (18 m) is used. Volume estimates are then multiplied by 3600 (the estimated weight in tons of a one-foot (0.3 m) thick section of dolostone, one acre (0.4 ha) in extent, assuming a bulk density of 165 pounds per cubic foot (2649 kg per cubic metre)).

Resources of sandstone are calculated using a bulk density estimate of 146 pounds per cubic foot (2344 kg per cubic metre) or approximately 3200 tons per acre (7173 tonnes per hectare). Shale resources are calculated on the basis of a bulk density estimate of 150 pounds per cubic foot (2408 kg per cubic metre).

UNITS AND DEFINITIONS

Although most of the measurements and other primary data available for resource tonnage calculations are given in Imperial units, Metric units have also been given in the text and on the tables which accompany the report. The Metric equivalent of the data is shown in brackets after or directly below the corresponding Imperial figures. Data are generally rounded off in accordance with the Ontario Metric Practice Guide (Metric Committee 1975).

The tonnage estimates made for sand and gravel as well as bedrock-derived aggregates are termed possible resources in accordance with terminology of the Ontario Resource Classification Scheme (Robertson 1975, p. 7) and with the Association of Professional Engineers of Ontario (1976) (see Glossary, Appendix B).

PART II - DATA PRESENTATION AND INTERPRETATION

Three maps, each portraying a different aspect of the aggregate resources in the municipality, accompany the report. Map l, "Distribution of Sand and Gravel Deposits", gives a comprehensive inventory of the sand and gravel resources in the report area. Map 2, "Selected Sand and Gravel Resource Areas", shows those deposits which are considered to represent the largest and/or highest quality resources in the area. Map 3, "Bedrock Resources", shows the distribution of bedrock formations, the thickness of overlying unconsolidated sediments, and identifies the Selected Bedrock Resource Areas.

MAP 1: DISTRIBUTION OF SAND AND GRAVEL DEPOSITS

Map 1 is derived directly from the existing surficial geology maps of the area or from airphoto and field interpretation where surficial mapping is incomplete. It shows the extent and quality of sand and gravel deposits within the study area and the present level of extractive activity.

The present level of extractive activity in the study area is indicated as follows. Those areas which are licenced for extraction under The Pits and Quarries Control Act, 1971 are shown by a solid outline and identified by a number which refers to the pit descriptions in Table 2. Each description notes the owner, location and licenced acreage of the pit, as well as the estimated face height and percentage gravel. A number of unlicenced pits (abandoned pits or wayside pits operating on demand under authority of a permit) are also identified and numbered on Map 1 and described in Table 2.

Map 1 also presents a summary of available information related to the quality of aggregate contained in all the known aggregate deposits in the study area. Much of this information is contained in the symbols which are found on the map. The Deposit Symbol appears for each mapped deposit and summarizes important genetic and textural data. The Texture Symbol is a circular proportional diagram which displays the grain size distribution of the aggregate in areas where bulk samples were taken.

DEPOSIT SYMBOL

The Deposit Symbol is similar to those used in soil mapping and land classification systems commonly in use in North America. The components of the symbol indicate the gravel content, thickness of material, origin (type), and quality limitations for a given deposit. These components are illustrated by the following example:



This symbol identifies an outwash deposit 10 to 20 feet (3 to 6 m) thick containing more than 35 percent gravel. Excess silt and clay may limit uses of the aggregate in the deposit.

The "gravel content" and "thickness class" are basic criteria for distinguishing different deposits. The "gravel content" symbol is an upper case "S" or "G". The "S" indicates that the deposit is generally "sandy" and that gravel-sized aggregate (greater than 4.75 mm) makes up less than 35 percent of the whole deposit. "G" indicates that the aggregate probably contains more than 35 percent gravel.

The "thickness class" indicates a depth range which is related to the potential resource tonnage for each deposit. Four thickness class divisions have been established as shown in the legend for Map 1.

Two smaller sets of letters, divided from each other by a horizontal line, follow the thickness class number. The upper series of letters identify the geologic deposit type (the types are summarized with respect to their main geologic and extractive characteristics in Appendix C) and the lower series of letters identify the main quality limitations that may be present in the deposit, as discussed in the next section.

TEXTURE SYMBOL

The Texture Symbol provides a more detailed assessment of the grain size distribution in deposits where samples were taken for analysis during field study. The data from which these symbols are derived has been plotted on grain size distribution graphs. The relative amounts of gravel, sand, silt, and clay in the sampled material are shown graphically by the sub-

division of a circle into proportional segments. The following example shows a hypothetical sample consisting of 30 percent gravel, 60 percent sand, and 10 percent silt and clay:

Test hole locations are shown on Map 1 by a solid drill hole symbol.

MAP 2: SELECTED SAND AND GRAVEL RESOURCE AREAS

Map 2 is an interpretative map derived from an evaluation of the deposits shown on Map 1. The deposits identified on Map 2 are those which are considered to be important in ensuring an adequate resource base for the future.

All the selected sand and gravel resource areas are first delineated by geological boundaries and then classified into three levels of significance: primary; secondary; and tertiary. These areas are identified on Map 2 by different shading patterns. Each area of primary significance is assessed as to its probable relative value as a resource in the municipality and is given a deposit number which denotes its ranking order. All such deposits are shown by a dark shading on Map 2.

Selected Sand and Gravel Resource Areas of primary significance are not permanent, single land use units which must be incorporated in an official planning document. They represent areas in which a major resource is known to exist. Such Resource Areas may be reserved wholly or partially for extractive development and/or resource protection within the context of the official plan.

Deposits of secondary significance are not ranked numerically in this report, but are indicated by a light shading on Map 2. Such deposits are believed to contain significant amounts of sand and gravel. Although deposits of secondary significance are not considered to be the "best" resource areas in a municipality, they may contain large quantities of sand and gravel and should be considered an integral component of the aggregate supply of the municipality.

Areas of tertiary significance are indicated on the map by a dashed line but have no shading. They are neither rated nor considered to be important resource areas because of their low available resources, or because of possible difficulties in extraction. Such areas may be useful for local needs but are unlikely to support large-scale development.

The process by which deposits are evaluated and selected involves the consideration of two sets of criteria. The main selection criteria are site specific, related to the characteristics of individual deposits. Factors such as deposit size, aggregate quality, and deposit location and setting are considered in the selection of those deposits best suited for extractive development. A second set of criteria involves the assessment of local aggregate resources in relation to the quality, quantity, and distribution of resources in the region in which the municipality is located. The intent of such a process of evaluation is to ensure the continuing availability of sufficient resources to meet possible future demands.

SITE SPECIFIC CRITERIA

DEPOSIT SIZE

Ideally, selected deposits should contain available sand and gravel resources large enough to support a commercial pit operation using a stationary or portable processing plant. In practice, much smaller deposits may be of significant value depending on the overall reserves in the rest of the municipality. Generally, deposits in Class 1, i.e. those thicker than 20 feet (6m) and containing more than 35 percent crushable gravel are considered to be most favourable for commercial development. Thinner deposits may be valuable in municipalities with low total resources.

AGGREGATE QUALITY

The limitations of natural aggregates for various uses result from variations in the lithology of the particles composing the deposit, and from variations in the size distribution of these particles.

Four indicators of the quality of aggregate may be included in the symbol for each deposit on Map 1. They are: gravel content (G or S); fines (C); oversize (O); and lithology (L).

Three of the indicators deal with grain size distribution. The gravel content (G or S) indi-

cates the suitability of aggregate for various uses. Deposits containing at least 35 percent gravel in addition to a minimum of 20 percent material greater than the 26.5 mm sieve are considered to be the most favourable extractive sites, since this content is the minimum from which crushed products can be economically produced.

Excess fines (or high silt and clay content) may severely limit the potential use of an aggregate. Fines content in excess of 10 percent may impede drainage in road sub-base aggregate and render it more susceptible to the effects of frost action. In asphaltic aggregate, excess fines hinder the bonding of particles. Deposits known to have a high fines content are indicated by a "C" in the quality portion of the Deposit Symbol.

Deposits containing more than 20 percent oversize material (greater than 4 inches (10 cm) in diameter) may also have use limitations. The oversize component is unacceptable for all concrete aggregate and for road-building aggregate, so it must be either crushed or removed during processing. Deposits known to have an appreciable oversize component are indicated by an "O" in the quality portion of the Deposit Symbol.

The other indicator of the quality of an aggregate is lithology. Just as the unique physical and chemical properties of bedrock formations determine their value for use as crushed rock, so do various lithologies of particles in a sand and gravel deposit determine its suitability for various uses. The presence of objectionable lithologies such as chert, siltstone, and shale, even in relatively small amounts, can result in a reduction in the quality of an aggregate, especially for high-quality uses such as concrete or structures. Deposits known to contain objectionable lithologies are indicated by an "L" in the quality component of the Deposit Symbol.

If the Deposit Symbol indicates either "C", "O", or "L" or any combination, the quality of the deposit is considered to be reduced for some uses of the aggregate. No attempt has been made to quantify the degree of limitation imposed. Assessment of the four indicators is made from published data, from data contained in files of the Ontario Ministry of Transportation and Communications and the Engineering and Terrain Geology Section of the Ontario Geological Survey, and from field observations. The Engineering Materials Office of the Ontario Ministry of Transportation and Communications has recently compiled a detailed assessment of aggregate suitability for selected areas in southern Ontario. This material has been consulted extensively in preparation of the inventory reports.

Analyses of unprocessed samples obtained from test holes and pits have been plotted on grain size distribution graphs. On the graphs are the gradation specification envelopes for Ontario Ministry of Transportation and Communications' products — Granular Base Course A,B, and C; and Hot-Laid Asphaltic Sand Nos. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 and 8. By plotting the gradation curves with respect to the specification envelopes, it can be determined how well the unprocessed sampled material meets the criteria for each product.

LOCATION AND SETTING

The location and setting of a resource area has a direct influence on its value for possible extraction. The evaluation of a deposit's setting is made on the basis of those natural and man-made features which may limit or prohibit extractive development.

First, the physical context of the deposit is considered. Deposits with some physical constraint on extractive development, such as thick overburden or high water table, are less valuable resource areas because of the difficulties involved in resource recovery. Second, permanent man-made features, such as roads, railways, power lines, and housing developments, which are built on a deposit, may prohibit its extraction. The constraining effect of legally required setbacks surrounding such features is included in the evaluation. A quantitative assessment of these constraints can be made by measurement of their areal extent directly from the topographic maps. The area rendered unavailable by these features is shown for each resource area in Table 3 (Column 3).

The assessment of sand and gravel deposits and bedrock resource areas with respect to local land use and to private land ownership is an important component of the general evaluation process. These aspects of the evaluation process are not considered further in this report but

readers are encouraged to discuss them with personnel of the pertinent District Office of the Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources.

REGIONAL CONSIDERATIONS

In selecting sufficient areas for resource development, it is important to assess both the local and the regional resource base, and to forecast future production and demand patterns.

Some appreciation of future aggregate requirements in an area may be gained by assessing its present production levels and by forecasting future production trends. Such an approach is based on the assumptions that production levels in an area closely reflect the demand and that the present production "market share" of an area will remain at roughly the same level.

The aggregate resources in the region surrounding a municipality should be assessed in order to properly evaluate specific resource areas and to adopt optimum resource management plans. For example, a municipality that has large resources in comparison to its surrounding region constitutes a regionally significant resource area. Municipalities with high resources in proximity to large demand centres, such as metropolitan areas, are special cases.

Although an appreciation of the regional context is required to develop comprehensive resource management techniques, such detailed evaluation is beyond the scope of this report. The selection of resource areas made in this study is based primarily on geological data or on considerations outlined in preceding sections.

MAP 3: BEDROCK RESOURCES

Map 3 is an interpretative map derived from bedrock geology, bedrock topography, drift thickness maps, water well data from the Ontario Ministry of the Environment, oil and gas well data from the Petroleum Resources Section (Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources), and from geotechnical test hole data from various sources. Map 3 is based on concepts similar to those outlined for Maps 1 and 2, but displays both the inventory and evaluation on the one map.

The geological boundaries of the bedrock units are shown by a dashed line. Isolated outcrops are indicated by an "X". Three sets of contour lines delineate areas of less than 3 feet (1 m) of drift, areas of 3 to 25 feet (1 to 8 m) of drift, and areas of 25 to 50 feet (8 to 15 m) of drift. The extent of the areas of thin drift are shown by three shades. The darkest shade indicates areas where bedrock outcrops or is within 3 feet (1 m) of the ground surface. These areas constitute potential resource areas of primary significance because of their easy access. The medium shade indicates areas where drift cover is up to 25 feet (8 m) thick. Quarrying is possible in this depth of overburden and these also represent potential resource areas. The lightest shade indicates bedrock areas overlain by 25 to 50 feet (8 to 15 m) of overburden. These latter areas constitute resources which have extractive value only in specific circumstances. Outside of these delineated areas the bedrock can be assumed to be covered by more than 50 feet (15 m) of overburden, a depth generally considered to be too great to allow economic extraction (unless part of the overburden is composed of economically attractive sand and gravel deposits).

Other inventory information presented on Map 3 is designed to give an indication of the present level of extractive activity in the municipality. Those areas which are licenced for extraction under The Pits and Quarries Control Act, 1971 are shown by a solid outline and identified by a number which refers to the quarry descriptions in Table 5. Each description notes the owner, location, and licenced acreage of the quarry and an estimate of face height. Unlicenced quarries (abandoned quarries or wayside quarries operating on demand under authority of a permit) are also identified and numbered on Map 3 and described in Table 5. One additional symbol appears on the map: an open dot indicates the location of a selected well which penetrates bedrock. The overburden thickness is shown in feet beside the open dot.

SELECTION CRITERIA

Criteria equivalent to those used for sand and gravel deposits are used to select bedrock areas most favourable for extractive development.

The evaluation of bedrock resources is made primarily on the basis of performance and suitability data established by laboratory testing at the Ontario Ministry of Transportation and

Communications. The main characteristics and uses of the bedrock formations found in southern Ontario are summarized in Appendix D.

Deposit "size" is related directly to the areal extent of thin drift cover overlying favourable bedrock formations of sufficient thickness to support quarry operations. Since vertical and lateral variations in bedrock units are much more gradual than in sand and gravel deposits, the quality and quantity of the resource is usually consistent over large areas.

Quality of the aggregate derived from specific bedrock units is established by the performance standards previously mentioned. Location and setting criteria and regional considerations are identical to those for sand and gravel deposits.

SELECTED RESOURCE AREAS

Selection of Bedrock Resource Areas has been restricted to a single level of significance. Three factors support this approach. First, quality and quantity variations are gradual. Second, the areal extent of a given quarry operation is much smaller than that of a sand and gravel pit producing an equivalent tonnage of material, and third, since crushed bedrock has a higher unit value than sand and gravel, longer haul distances can be considered. These factors allow the identification of alternative sites having similar development potential. The Selected Areas are shown on Map 3 by a line pattern and the calculated available tonnages are given in Table 6.

Selected Bedrock Resource Areas shown on Map 3 are not permanent, single land use units which must be incorporated in an official planning document. They represent areas in which a major bedrock resource is known to exist. Such a resource area may be reserved wholly or partially for extractive development and/or resource protection within the context of the official plan.

PART III - ASSESSMENT OF AGGREGATE RESOURCES IN BENTINCK TOWNSHIP

LOCATION AND POPULATION

Bentinck Township occupies an area of 76,716 acres (31 047 ha) in the southern part of Grey County, southern Ontario. The township is shown on the Durham (41 A/2), Walkerton (41 A/3), Chesley (41 A/6) and Markdale (41 A/7) map sheets of the National Topographic System, at a scale of 1:50 000.

The township's population in 1982 was 3020 (Ontario Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing 1983). The population has grown 26 percent since 1972, with most of the growth having occurred in the mid- to late-seventies (Ontario Ministry of Treasury, Economics and Intergovernmental Affairs 1974).

Several small centres such as Allan Park, Elmwood and Dornoch provide local services, while the adjacent Towns of Hanover and Durham serve as commercial, industrial and institutional centres at the regional level. The population of Hanover has increased by 19 percent since 1972 and is now 6199 (Ontario Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing 1983; Ontario Ministry of Treasury, Economics and Intergovernmental Affairs 1974). Hanover's relatively consistent growth rate is expected to continue, with the major source of growth being migration. The population is projected to grow by 33 percent to be 8100 by 2001 (Grey/Owen Sound Planning Board 1981). The demand for aggregate for road-building and residential, industrial, and commercial construction will increase with the population.

Durham's population in 1982 was 2430, virtually unchanged since 1972 (Ontario Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing 1983; Ontario Ministry of Treasury, Economics and Intergovernmental Affairs 1974). There are no indications that the growth rate will accelerate rapidly in the foreseeable future (Durham Planning Board 1979).

Access to the township is provided by King's Highway 6, which forms its eastern boundary, and King's Highway 4, which runs east-west through Hanover and Durham. Various county and township roads provide connecting links within the township. Two rail lines are found in the township. A Canadian Pacific Railway line runs from Hanover to Durham, while a Canadian National Railways line runs south from Durham.

PHYSIOGRAPHY AND SURFICIAL GEOLOGY

The physiography and distribution of surficial materials in southern Ontario are largely the result of continental glaciation which took place 23 000 to 10 000 years ago, during the Late Wisconsinan Substage of the Pleistocene Epoch (informally known as the "Great Ice Age"). The beginning of this period was marked by the advance of lobes or submasses of the continental ice sheet out of the basins of the Great Lakes to join together to cover southern Ontario. In subsequent years the area experienced several periods of deglaciation followed by new advances of the ice until finally the ice in the area melted and completely disappeared.

The Georgian Bay lobe of the Wisconsinan glacier advanced south to southeast over Bentinck Township approximately 23 000 years ago. This advance of the ice deposited Catfish Creek Till, which has a stony sandy silt to silty sand texture. There were a number of periods of ice melt and readvance in the region which are especially evident to the south and southeast of the township. During one of the ice advances, Elma Till was deposited in Bentinck. Elma Till has a sandy silt texture and is moderately stony.

After the deposition of the Elma Till, the ice melted back exposing an extensive but discontinuous drumlinized till plain known as the Teeswater Till Plain (Chapman and Putnam 1966). The drumlins found on this till plain form swarms of streamlined hills, particularly in northeastern Bentinck. The drumlins are of little value as sources of aggregate because they contain Elma and possibly Catfish Creek Till, both of which contain large quantities of fine-grained material.

As the ice front continued to melt back to the north and northwest down the regional bedrock surface slope, water was ponded between the glacier margin and the higher ground to the east. Deposition of sediment from esker streams and other sources into this lake resulted in the development of a number of ice-marginal deltas. The most prominent and well developed of these is located northwest of Allan Park Station, and

is a source of good-quality aggregate (Sharpe, in preparation).

Outwash channels formed sub parallel to the margin of the melting Elma ice sheet and drained to the southwest. Sediment was fed into ponded water and was deposited as glaciolacustrine sands, silts and clays. These deposits, located primarily in southern Bentinck, are shallow and are poor aggregate sources because of their fine texture.

Part of the Gibraltar Moraine (Feenstra 1975) is located in the northern part of Bentinck Township. In Bentinck this moraine is primarily a recessional feature formed during the wasting of the Elma ice sheet (Feenstra, in prep.). After the Elma ice sheet had receded from Bentinck Township, a minor readvance of the Georgian Bay lobe occurred. The readvance deposited Dunkeld Till and may have formed the western arm of the Gibraltar Moraine (Cowan et al. 1978). Small amounts of Dunkeld Till, a sandy silt till often indistinguishable from Elma Till, may be found along the western boundary of the township in the area of Elmwood and Habermehl Lake (Cowan 1977).

The Gibraltar Moraine is fronted by a large network of thick outwash gravel deposited along the present course of the Saugeen River by glacial meltwaters. There are two branches of this outwash: one starts north of Glenelg Centre (Glenelg Township) and extends southwest to west of Allan Park Station; the other branch begins at the Gibraltar Moraine in the north, and joins the first branch north of Allan Park Station. This outwash system is part of a physiographic region known as the Artemesia Gravel (Logan 1863; Sharpe in prep.), and is the most important source of good-quality sand and gravel in Bentinck Township.

Postglacial erosional and depositional processes have been of minor importance in modifying the physiography of Bentinck Township.

EXTRACTIVE ACTIVITY

In January 1981, Bentinck Township was designated under The Pits and Quarries Control Act, 1971. Prior to that time numerous small pits had been active in the township. Most of the pits are now inactive (abandoned). The Ontario Ministry of Transportation and Communications (M.T.C.) rated some of these former pits (most of which are located near Highway 4) as being moderate- to high-quality aggregate sources, capable of producing crushed aggregate for Granular Base Course (G.B.C.) A, and in some cases, for hot-laid asphaltic products.

There are currently six licenced pits in the township with a total area of 354.0 acres (143.3 ha). From July 1 to December 31, 1981, the total production from all licenced sources in Bentinck was 125,226 tons (113 605 tonnes) and for the 1982 calendar year, the total licenced production was 192,809 tons (174 916 tonnes). Wayside pit production for July 1 to December 31, 1981 was 24,696 tons (22 404 tonnes) and 20,000 tons (18 144 tonnes) for 1982. Wayside pits are temporary pits (or quarries) opened and used by a public road authority solely for the purpose of a particular project or contract of road construction.

SELECTED SAND AND GRAVEL RESOURCE AREAS

Bentinck Township contains 34,000 acres (13 800 ha) of sand and gravel deposits. The total estimated tonnage in these deposits is 1700 million tons (1540 million tonnes). The best-quality aggregate is found in the central and eastern portion of the township in large outwash and ice-contact gravel deposits associated with the Gibraltar Moraine. Generally, the deposits are thick and contain greater than 20 feet (6 m), of moderate- to high-quality aggregate.

Four areas have been selected for possible resource protection at the primary level. They total 13,400 acres (5400 ha) exclusive of licenced properties. Approximately 10,800 acres (4400 ha) are considered to be available for extraction, containing a total tonnage of 540 million tons (490 million tonnes). The available portions of the selected areas represent 32 percent of the total area of sand and gravel deposits in Bentinck and 32 percent of the original tonnage.

Several ice-contact and esker deposits having a total area of 7600 acres (3100 ha) have been designated for secondary resource protection. These secondary areas serve as important alternative extraction sites and should be considered as part of the total aggregate supply.

SELECTED SAND AND GRAVEL RESOURCE AREAS 1 AND 2

Selected Sand and Gravel Resource Area 1 is a large outwash gravel deposit that trends approximately north-south through the central part of Bentinck. Selected Resource Area 2, also an outwash gravel deposit, is located east of Area 1. Resource Areas 1 and 2 contain well-terraced outwash, deposited along the courses of the current Saugeen and Rocky Saugeen Rivers, during the formation of the Gibraltar Moraine. Lithologically, the outwash contains hard, rounded cobbles of dolostone (85 percent Amabel and Guelph Formations) and limited amounts of limestone (10 percent), and Precambrian material (5 percent) (Sharpe, in prep.). The deposits may be as much as 66 feet (20 m) thick west of Durham (Sharpe, in prep.), although Resource Area 1 is expected to contain slightly thicker deposits than Area 2.

SELECTED SAND AND GRAVEL RESOURCE AREA 1

There are two licenced pits and seven abandoned pits in Selected Resource Area 1. Licenced pit 1 has an area of 12.4 acres (5.0 ha) and has a face height of 30 feet (9 m), containing approximately 55 percent gravel. Licenced pit no. 6 has an area of 10.6 acres (4.3 ha) and has a face height of 10 feet (3 m). It contains approximately 70 percent gravel. The abandoned pits (pit nos. 21, 22, 29, 30, 34, 35 and 36) contain 20 to 70 percent gravel. Twenty to 55 percent of the gravel is greater than one inch (25 mm) in size and 2 to 20 percent of this fraction is greater than four inches (100 mm), which is oversize. Although reported face heights vary from 6 to 25 feet (2 to 8 m), the deposit is expected to contain an average of approximately 20 feet (6 m) of material. Water well records show 24 to 86 feet (7 to 26 m) of sand and gravel.

Three test holes (BE-TH-2,3 and 5) were drilled in Resource Area 1 using a C.M.E. Drilling Rig. Test holes 2 and 3 showed 11.5 feet (3.5 m) of sandy fine to medium gravel with some silt. Test hole 5 showed 10 feet (3.0 m) of the same material. Drilling at all three of these holes had to be halted because the rig could not penetrate material encountered beyond the cited depths.

None of the pits in Resource Area 1 has been inspected by M.T.C. Based on visual estimates, all of the pits are possible crushable sources. The pits also contain minor amounts of oversize material which must be removed or crushed.

Resource Area 1 has an area of 7200 acres (2900 ha), exclusive of the licenced areas. After deletions for cultural constraints and previous extraction, approximately 6200 acres (2500 ha) are considered to be available for use. Assuming an average deposit thickness of 20 feet (6 m), the sand and gravel resources are estimated to be 310 million tons (280 million tonnes).

Resource Area 1 is accessible by King's Highway 4, Grey County Road 3 and various township roads. A Canadian Pacific Railway line passes south of the deposit.

SELECTED SAND AND GRAVEL RESOURCE AREA 2

Selected Sand and Gravel Resource Area 2 contains one licenced pit and fourteen abandoned pits (pit nos. 5, 39, 40, 45-47, 53, 58, 59, 64-69). Licenced pit 5 has a face height of 15 feet (5 m) and it contains 40 percent gravel. The percentage gravel in the abandoned pits ranges from 25 to 65 percent. Ten to 60 percent of this material is greater than one inch (25 mm), while one to 40 percent is greater than four inches (100 mm). Face heights vary from 5 to 20 feet (1.5 to 6 m). Water well records indicate 20 to 73 feet (6 to 22 m) of gravel and sand. The deposit is expected to average 20 feet (6 m) in depth.

Data obtained from the M.T.C. indicate that the material in abandoned pits 66, 67 and 68 can be used for G.B.C. A, hot-laid asphaltic stone and possibly hot-laid asphaltic sand (with blending). Pits 53, 58 and 69 are capable of producing G.B.C. A, B, and C. Pits 53 and 58 can also produce 16 mm Crushed Type B, which is a shouldering and maintenance gravel (Deike 1978). Pits 46 and 58 are the only two pits with greater than 10 percent oversize. Oversize must be either removed or crushed during processing.

Resource Area 2 has an unlicenced area of 5300 acres (2150 ha). Approximately 4000 acres (1620 ha) are considered to be available for extraction. Assuming an average deposit thickness of 20 feet (6 m), Resource Area 2 contains approximately 200 million tons (181 million tonnes) of aggregate.

King's Highways 4 and 6 and various township roads provide access to Resource Area 2. A Canadian Pacific Railway line runs through the deposit.

SELECTED SAND AND GRAVEL RESOURCE AREA 3

Selected Sand and Gravel Resource Area 3 is a large ice-contact gravel deposit located in the southwestern corner of Bentinck Township. The deposit contains two abandoned pits (pits nos. 54 and 63) but no licenced properties. The material in pit 54 contains 35 to 85 percent gravel; ten to 60 percent of which is greater than one inch (25 mm) in size, and up to 15 percent of which is oversize material. Pit 63 contains 40 to 50 percent gravel, up to 15 percent of which is oversize. Both pits are crushable sources and can produce G.B.C. A, 16 mm Crushed Type A, Hot-Laid (H.L.) 4 and 8 stone, and H.L. 3, 5 and 6 stone and hot-laid sand (with oversize removal and blending).

Resource Area 3 has an area of 810 acres (330 ha). Approximately 550 acres (222 ha) are considered to be available for extraction. Assuming an average thickness of 20 feet (6 m) the deposit contains approximately 28 million tons (25 million tonnes) of available aggregate.

Resource Area 3 is accessible by King's Highway 4 and by various township and county roads.

SELECTED SAND AND GRAVEL RESOURCE AREA 4

Selected Sand and Gravel Resource Area 4 is a small ice-contact gravel deposit located in southeastern Bentinck, within Resource Area 1. There is one licenced pit in the deposit. Licenced pit 4 has a face height of 30 feet (9 m) and contains 35 to 65 percent gravel, 20 to 50 percent of which is greater than one inch (25 mm), and 2 to 20 percent of which is oversize. M.T.C. rated this pit (prior to licencing) as having moderate-high to high-potential, containing material suitable for the production of G.B.C. A, hot-laid stone, and, with blending, hot-laid sand.

Resource Area 4 has an area of 89 acres (36 ha), excluding the licenced property. Approximately 84 acres (34 ha) are available for extraction. Assuming an average thickness of 20 feet (6 m), the deposit contains 4 million tons (4 million tonnes) of available aggregate.

King's Highways 4 and 6, as well as various county and township roads, provide access to the deposit. A Canadian Pacific Railway line runs just south of the deposit.

SAND AND GRAVEL RESOURCE AREAS OF SECONDARY SIGNIFICANCE

Secondary deposits are an important part of a township's aggregate supply. Several deposits have been selected at the secondary level of significance.

The Gibraltar Moraine, located in northwestern Bentinck, is made up, in part, by sand and gravel ice-contact deposits. It contains nine abandoned pits (pit nos. 9-15, 18 and 20). The pits contain 25 to 70 percent gravel, with face heights ranging from 5 to 35 feet (1.5 to 11 m). None of these pits has been rated by M.T.C., however the available grain size information indicates that all of the pits, with the exception of pit 12, are possible crushable aggregate sources. There is no specific information on the percentage of fines in the pits, although silt pockets are expected. Test hole BE-TH-4 showed 15 feet (4.6 m) of fine sand with some fine gravel and a trace of silt, overlying 10 feet (3.0 m) of silt.

A number of predominantly gravel eskers associated with the Gibraltar Moraine have also been selected at the secondary level. Two of the eskers contain abandoned pits (pit nos. 16 and 17) which contain 40 percent gravel. In pit 17, 25 percent of the gravel is greater than one inch (25 mm) in size. A test pit dug in one of the eskers contained 80 percent gravel, 55 percent of which was greater than one inch (25 mm) and 30 percent of which was oversize material (Sado 1976). These eskers are valuable sources of crushable aggregate.

The sand ice-contact-delta deposit located northwest of Allan Park Station is of secondary significance. Data obtained from M.T.C. indicate that this deposit contains material suitable for the production of G.B.C. A, 16 mm Crushed Type A, H.L. 4 and 8 stone and, with blending, H.L. 3, 5, 6 stone and hot-laid sand. The crushable material occurs mainly in small pockets.

An ice-contact gravel deposit located in central Bentinck is also considered to be of

secondary significance. Abandoned pits 37 and 44 are located in this deposit. Pit 37 contains 50 percent gravel, 25 percent of which is larger than one inch (25 mm) in size, and five percent of which is oversize. This pit is a source of material suitable for crushable aggregate. Pit 44 contains 25 percent gravel, ten percent of which is larger than one inch (25 mm) and one percent of which is oversize.

The large ice-contact sand deposit located along the southern boundary of the township has been selected at the secondary level. There are two abandoned pits (pit nos. 55 and 56), containing 15 to 20 percent gravel and M.T.C. data for pit 56 indicate that the material is suitable for the production of G.B.C. A and, with blending, hot-laid sand.

BEDROCK GEOLOGY

Two bedrock units of Silurian age occur in Bentinck Township. The older Guelph Formation underlies the eastern two thirds of the township. The bedrock surface is relatively level. The formation consists of tan to brown, even-textured, fine- to medium-crystalline dolostone which appears vuggy and porous in reefal complexes (Liberty 1966). The formation has a high chemical purity and is a valuable raw material for various metallurgical processes. The rock is quarried for the manufacture of dolomitic lime near Guelph and Hamilton. The Guelph Formation is generally soft and erodes easily. For these reasons it is not well suited for use in the production of load-bearing aggregate.

The Salina Formation underlies the western third of the township. The formation is predominantly thin-bedded, soft green and red shale with anhydrite, mineral molds, and thin bituminous partings. It also contains buff, fine-crystalline dolomite and some greenish and bluish grey shale (Liberty 1966). The soft shales and evaporite deposits of the Salina Formation have poor resistance to erosion and abrasion, thus the formation is not suitable for use as load-bearing aggregate.

Generally, the thickness of the drift in central and western Bentinck makes excavation to bedrock uneconomical. However, there are several places in the northern, eastern and southwestern parts of Bentinck where the bedrock is covered with less than 50 feet (15 m) of drift, particularly along the Beatty Saugeen and the Rocky Saugeen Rivers, where outcrops occur. Despite the occurrence of several areas, especially in the north and northeast parts of the township, where the drift is less than 50 feet (15 m) thick, these areas have not been selected for possible protection as neither the Guelph nor the Salina Formation are useful for the production of concrete aggregate.

SUMMARY

The sand and gravel resources of Bentinck Township were deposited during the Great Ice Age. Two outwash and two ice-contact areas associated with the Gibraltar Moraine have been selected for possible resource protection at the primary level. These resource areas contain large quantities of good-quality aggregate and are an important regional source of road-building and construction products. In addition, several deposits have been selected at the secondary level. These deposits, as well as the tertiary deposits, are also important components of the total aggregate supply.

The township is underlain by bedrock of the Guelph and Salina Formations. Both formations are unacceptable for use in the production of road-building or construction aggregate. Although some areas with less than 50 feet (15 m) of drift occur, no areas were selected for possible resource protection.

Enquiries regarding the Aggregate Resources Inventory of Bentinck Township should be directed to the Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources either at the Owen Sound District Office, 611-9th Avenue East, Owen Sound, Ontario, N4K 3E4 (Tel. (519) 376-3860) or at the Southwestern Region Geological Office, 458 Central Avenue, London, Ontario, N6B 2E5 (Tel. (519) 433-8431).

TABLE 1 | TOTAL SAND AND GRAVEL RESOURCES, BENTINCK TOWNSHIP*

1 CLASS NO.	2 DEPOSIT TYPE (see Appendix C)	3 AREAL EXTENT Acres (Hectares)	4 ORIGINAL TONNAGE Millions of Tons (Tonnes)
1	G-IC	920 (370)	67 (61)
	G-IC-E	20 (8)	1 (1)
	G-OW	12,600 (5100)	880 (800)
	S-IC-LD	425 (172)	32 (29)
	S-IC	5300 (2150)	355 (320)
2	G-E	250 (100)	10 (9)
	G-IC	1540 (620)	52 (47)
	G-OW	300 (121)	13 (12)
	S-E	125 (51)	5 (4)
	S-E-K	25 (10)	1 (1)
	S-IC	1700 (690)	83 (75)
	S-OW	1330 (540)	50 (45)
3	G-E	110 (45)	2 (2)
	G-IC	95 (38)	2 (2)
	S-E	55 (22)	1 (1)
	S-IC	1020 (415)	20 (18)

TABLE 1 | TOTAL SAND AND GRAVEL RESOURCES, BENTINCK TOWNSHIP*

1 CLASS NO.	2 DEPOSIT TYPE (see Appendix C)	3 AREAL EXTENT Acres (Hectares)	4 ORIGINAL TONNAGE Millions of Tons (Tonnes)
	S-OW	4850 (1960)	96 (87)
4	G-E	75 (30)	1 (1)
	G-IC	85 (34)	1 (1)
	G-OW	100 (40)	1 (1)
	S-E	40 (16)	1 (1)
	S-IC	290 (117)	3 (3)
	S-LP	2350 (950)	24 (22)
	S-OW	280 (113)	3 (3)
		34,000 (13 800)	1700 (1540)

* Identified deposit areas within the Town of Durham and the Town of Hanover are omitted on all tables.

N.B. Minor variations in tables are caused by rounding of data.

TABLE 2 | SAND AND GRAVEL PITS, BENTINCK TOWNSHIP

1 NO.	2 MTC NO.	3 OWNER/OPERATOR	4 LOT	5 CON.	6 LICENCED AREAS Acres (Hectares)	7 FACE HEIGHT Feet (Metres)	8 % GRAVEL
LICENCED PITS							
1	–	A. Kaufman	21, 22	11	12.4 (5.0)	30 (9)	55
2	22	Township of Bentinck	18, 19, 20	3N	13.3 (5.4)	25 (8)	10
3	118	Saugeen Spraying Co. Ltd.	19 to 23	2N	211.0 (85.4)	15-25 (5-8)	20
4	45	T.A. Lawrence	52	3W	80.8 (32.7)	30 (9)	35-65
5	95	D. Shutz	61	1S	25.9 (10.5)	15 (5)	40
6	–	Ogle Cluchey	21	8	10.6 (4.3)	10 (3)	70
					354.0 (143.3)		
UNLICENCED PITS*							
7	–	J. Macgregor	6	15	–	20 (6)	35
8	–	Unknown	11	15	–	5-10 (1.5-3)	20
9	–	A. King	25	15	–	10-25 (3-8)	40
10	–	Unknown	26	15	–	8 (2)	35 (overgrown)
11	33	B. Miller	26	15	–	15 (5)	35 (partially overgrown)
12	–	Unknown	27	15	–	12 (4)	40 (overgrown)
13	–	Unknown	29	14	–	15 (5)	25 (overgrown)

TABLE 2 | SAND AND GRAVEL PITS, BENTINCK TOWNSHIP

1 NO.	2 MTC NO.	3 OWNER/OPERATOR	4 LOT	5 CON.	6 LICENCED AREAS Acres (Hectares)	7 FACE HEIGHT Feet (Metres)	8 % GRAVEL
14	–	Unknown	31	15	–	5 (1.5)	40 (overgrown)
15	–	H. Howie	5	13	–	15-35 (5-11)	70
16	–	Unknown	8	13	–	5-15 (1.5-5)	40
17	37	Unknown	9	13	–	20 (6)	40 (overgrown)
18	–	J. King	25	14	–	10 (3)	60 (overgrown)
19	60	Unknown	5	1W	–	10 (3)	40 (overgrown)
20	–	Unknown	16	11	–	20 (6)	60 (overgrown)
21	–	Unknown	18	10	–	25 (8)	60
22	44	Unknown	24	10	–	6 (2)	40 (overgrown)
23	–	Unknown	2	8	–	10 (3)	30
24	–	Unknown	2	7	–	10 (3)	25
25	–	Unknown	5	7	–	5-10 (1.5-3)	10
26	52	B. Agnew	6	7	–	8 (2)	5
27	–	Unknown	10, 11	8	–	6 (2)	25
28	–	Township of Bentinck	16	7	–	15 (5)	10 (partially rehabilitated)
29	–	Unknown	20	8	–	8 (2)	70 (overgrown)

TABLE 2 | SAND AND GRAVEL PITS, BENTINCK TOWNSHIP

1 NO.	2 MTC NO.	3 OWNER/OPERATOR	4 LOT	5 CON.	6 LICENCED AREAS Acres (Hectares)	7 FACE HEIGHT Feet (Metres)	8 % GRAVEL
30	–	Cluchey	22	8	–	15 (5)	20 (partially overgrown)
31	–	Unknown	25	8	–	6 (2)	55 (overgrown)
32	–	Unknown	29	9	–	10 (3)	50 (overgrown)
33	–	J. Lapierre	6	6	–	12 (4)	40
34	–	Unknown	19	5	–	8 (2)	55 (overgrown)
35	–	Unknown	20	5	–	7 (2)	60 (overgrown)
36	–	D. Ready	23	5	–	20 (6)	35 (overgrown)
37	–	E. Szabo	25	5	–	15 (5)	50
38	–	Unknown	25	6	–	4 (1.2)	40 (overgrown)
39	–	Lynn	42	2W	–	5 (1.5)	40 (overgrown)
40	–	Unknown	17	1W	–	5 (1.5)	35 (overgrown)
41	–	Unknown	11	4	–	15 (5)	25 (overgrown)
42	–	Unknown	28	3N	–	15 (5)	–
43	–	Unknown	45	3N	–	15-30 (5-9)	40 (partially overgrown)
44	–	Unknown	25	4	–	10 (3)	25 (overgrown)

TABLE 2 | SAND AND GRAVEL PITS, BENTINCK TOWNSHIP

1 NO.	2 MTC NO.	3 OWNER/OPERATOR	4 LOT	5 CON.	6 LICENCED AREAS Acres (Hectares)	7 FACE HEIGHT Feet (Metres)	8 % GRAVEL
45	–	Unknown	60	3N	–	10 (3)	40
46	–	Unknown	45	2W	–	8 (2)	55 (overgrown)
47	–	Unknown	44	2W	–	6 (2)	40 (overgrown)
48	–	Unknown	21	1W	–	8 (2)	10 (overgrown)
49	89	Munroe	27	2N	–	15 (5)	25 (overgrown)
50	86	Unknown	37	1S	–	25 (8)	40 (overgrown)
51	–	Unknown	42	1N	–	6 (2)	20 (overgrown)
52	–	Unknown	42	1N	–	5 (1.5)	15 (overgrown)
53	102	Maple Leaf Veneer	55	2W	–	20 (6)	55 (partially overgrown)
54	20	Walker	14	1S	–	20 (6)	35-85 (overgrown)
55	100	Zapf	40	2S	–	10-30 (3-9)	20 (partially overgrown)
56	–	B. Watson	40	2S	–	15 (5)	15 (overgrown)
57	87	McNally	44	1S	–	10 (3)	20 (overgrown)
58	88	Weppler	50	1S	–	7 (2)	50 (partially overgrown)
59	–	Unknown	61	1S	–	6 (2)	25 (overgrown)

TABLE 2 [SAND AND GRAVEL PITS, BENTINCK TOWNSHIP

1 NO.	2 MTC NO.	3 OWNER/OPERATOR	4 LOT	5 CON.	6 LICENCED AREAS Acres (Hectares)	7 FACE HEIGHT Feet (Metres)	8 % GRAVEL
60	134	D. Shutz	61	2S	–	5 (1.5)	20 (overgrown)
61	–	Unknown	20	3S	–	15 (5)	20 (overgrown)
62	–	Yonge	21	3S	–	14 (4)	20 (overgrown)
63	82	Unknown	22	3S	–	5-25 (1.5-8)	40-50
64	–	Unknown	60	3S	–	5 (1.5)	40
65	–	Unknown	64	2W	–	8 (2)	60
66	72	Unknown	28	1W	–	10 (3)	65
67	73	Unknown	29	1W	–	10 (3)	40
68	77	Unknown	29	1W	–	5 (1.5)	30
69	117	Unknown	30	1W	–	10 (3)	25

* Abandoned or wayside pits operating on demand under authority of a permit.

TABLE 3 | SELECTED SAND AND GRAVEL RESOURCE AREAS, BENTINCK TOWNSHIP

1 DEPOSIT NO.	2 UNLICENCED AREA Acres (Hectares)	3 CULTURAL SETBACKS Acres (Hectares)	4 EXTRACTED AREA Acres (Hectares)	5 AVAILABLE AREA Acres (Hectares)	6 ESTIMATED DEPOSIT THICKNESS Feet (Metres)	7 AVAILABLE AGGREGATE Millions of Tons (Tonnes)
1	7200 (2900)	970 (390)	24 (10)	6200 (2500)	20 (6)	310 (280)
2	5300 (2150)	1270 (510)	40 (16)	4000 (1620)	20 (6)	200 (181)
3	810 (330)	260 (105)	5 (2)	550 (222)	20 (6)	28 (25)
4	89 (36)	5 (2)	0 (0)	84 (34)	20 (6)	4 (4)
	13,400 (5400)	2500 (1010)	69 (28)	10,800 (4400)		540 (490)

TABLE 4 | TOTAL IDENTIFIED BEDROCK RESOURCES, BENTINCK TOWNSHIP

1 **DRIFT THICKNESS** Feet (Metres)	2 **FORMATION**	3 **ESTIMATED DEPOSIT THICKNESS** Feet (Metres)	4 **AREAL EXTENT** Acres (Hectares)	5 **ORIGINAL TONNAGE** Millions of Tons (Tonnes)
3-25 (1-8)	Guelph Formation	60 (18)	5400 (2190)	1170 (1060)
25-50 (8-15)	Guelph Formation	60 (18)	7800 (3150)	1680 (1520)
			13,100 (5300)	2850 (2600)
3-25 (1-8)	Salina Formation	60 (18)	1050 (425)	200 (180)
25-50 (8-15)	Salina Formation	60 (18)	2350 (950)	450 (410)
			3400 (1400)	650 (590)
			16,500 (6700)	3500 (3200)

TABLE 5 | QUARRIES, BENTINCK TOWNSHIP

1 NO.	2 MTC NO.	3 OWNER/OPERATOR	4 LOT	5 CON.	6 LICENCED AREA Acres (Hectares)	7 FACE HEIGHT Feet (Metres)

– NONE –

TABLE 6 | SELECTED BEDROCK RESOURCE AREAS, BENTINCK TOWNSHIP

1 DEPOSIT NO.	2 DEPTH OF OVERBURDEN Feet (Metres)	3 UNLICENCED AREA Acres (Hectares)	4 CULTURAL SETBACKS Acres (Hectares)	5 EXTRACTED AREA Acres (Hectares)	6 AVAILABLE AREA Acres (Hectares)	7 ESTIMATED WORKABLE THICKNESS Feet (Metres)	8 AVAILABLE RESOURCES Millions of Tons (Tonnes)

– NONE –

TABLE 7 | SUMMARY OF TEST HOLE DATA, BENTINCK TOWNSHIP

Test Hole Number: BE-TH-1

Location: Lot 27, Conc. 13

Elevation: Approx. 1075 feet (328 m) a.s.l.

Date: August 10, 1981

DEPTH Feet (metres)	DESCRIPTION
0-0.5 (0-0.2)	topsoil
0.5-15 (0.2-4.6)	dense gravelly yellow-brown silty sand
15-16.5 (4.6-5.0)	dense sandy gravelly medium brown silty clay – heavy rain for 5 minutes immediately prior to drilling – caving at 6 feet (1.8 m) – water at 10 feet (3 m) – hole terminated at 16.5 feet (5.0 m) due to caving and high fines content

Test Hole Number: BE-TH-2

Location: Lot 27, Conc. 13

Elevation: Approx. 1050 feet (320 m) a.s.l.

Date: August 10, 1981

DEPTH Feet (metres)	DESCRIPTION
0-11.5 (0-3.5)	dense sandy fine to medium gravel with occasional cobbles and some silt – hollow stem augers met considerable resistance throughout drilling procedure – lost tooth from lead auger at 12 feet (3.7 m) – hole terminated at 12 feet (3.7 m)

TABLE 7 | SUMMARY OF TEST HOLE DATA, BENTINCK TOWNSHIP

Test Hole Number: BE-TH-3

Location: Lot 26, Conc. 12

Elevation: Approx. 1042 feet (318 m) a.s.l.

Date: August 7, 1981

DEPTH Feet (metres)	DESCRIPTION
0-11.5 (0-3.5)	dense sandy fine to medium gravel with a little silt
	– water at 10 feet (3 m)
	– blow back into augers at 12 feet (3.7 m)
	– hole flushed
	– drill to 15 feet (4.6 m)
	– sampling attempt threatens to break split spoon
	– no sample obtained at 15 feet (4.6 m)
	– drill to 20 feet (6.1 m)
	– sampling attempt threatens to break split spoon
	– no sample obtained
	– material on auger flights and in flushing water was coarse sand and fine to medium gravel
	– hole terminated at 20 feet (6.1 m)

Test Hole Number: BE-TH-4

Location: Lot 11, Conc. 10

Elevation: Approx. 1025 feet (312 m) a.s.l.

Date: August 7, 1981

DEPTH Feet (metres)	DESCRIPTION
0-15 (0-4.6)	compact light brown medium to very fine sand with some fine gravel and a trace silt
15-20 (4.6-6.1)	compact medium grey sandy silt with some fine to medium gravel and a trace clay
20-26.5 (6.1-8.1)	compact medium grey sandy silt with a little clay and trace fine gravel
	hole terminated at 26.5 feet (8.1 m)

TABLE 7 | SUMMARY OF TEST HOLE DATA, BENTINCK TOWNSHIP

Test Hole Number: BE-TH-5

Location: Lot 10, Conc. 9

Elevation: Approx. 1025 feet (312 m) a.s.l.

Date: August 7, 1981

DEPTH Feet (metres)	DESCRIPTION
0-2.5 (0-0.8)	topsoil
2.5-10 (0.8-3.0)	dense sandy fine to medium gravel with some silt – auger meets considerable resistance from 5 to 7 feet (1.5 to 2.1 m) – change to hollow stem augers – drill to 10 feet (3.0 m) and attempt to sample – couldn't get proper sample – drilled to 12 feet (3.7 m) but couldn't penetrate further – hole terminated at 12 feet (3.7 m)

Test Hole Number: BE-TH-6

Location: Lot 11, Conc. 4

Elevation: Approx. 975 feet (297 m) a.s.l.

Date: August 7, 1981

DEPTH Feet (metres)	DESCRIPTION
0-11 (0-3.4)	compact light brown fine to very fine sand with little silt
11-20 (3.4-6.1)	compact wet medium sand with a trace fine gravel and occasional medium gravel
20-23 (6.1-7.0)	compact wet medium sand with some fine to medium gravel
23-31.5 (7.0-9.6)	dense wet stony silty clay till

REFERENCES

Association of Professional Engineers of Ontario
1976: Performance Standards for Professional Engineers Advising on and Reporting on Oil, Gas and Mineral Properties; Association of Professional Engineers of Ontario, 11 p.

Chapman, L.J. and Putnam, D.F.
1966: The Physiography of Southern Ontario; Second Edition, Ontario Research Foundation, University of Toronto Press, Toronto, 386 p.

Cowan, W.R.
1977: Quaternary Geology of the Walkerton (41 A/3) Area, Bruce and Grey Counties; pp. 141-143 in Summary of Field Work, 1977, Ontario Geological Survey, Miscellaneous Paper 75, by the Geological Branch, (eds. V.G. Milne, O.L. White, R.B. Barlow, and J.A. Robertson), 208 p.

Cowan, W.R.; Sharpe, D.R.; Feenstra, B.H. and Gwyn, Q.H.J.
1978: Glacial Geology of the Toronto-Owen Sound Area; pp. 1-16 in Toronto '78, Field Trips Guidebook, Joint Annual Meeting of the Geological Society of America, the Geological Association of Canada and the Mineralogical Association of Canada, (eds. A.L. Currie and W.O. Mackasey), 361 p.

Deike, W.
1978: Aggregate Suitability Evaluation, Bentinck Township, Grey County; Aggregate Unit, Pavement Design and Management Section, Engineering Materials Office, Ontario Ministry of Transportation and Communications, 2 p., unpublished report.

Durham Planning Board
1979: Official Plan of the Town of Durham Planning Area; 30 p.

Feenstra, B.H.
1975: Quaternary Geology of the Markdale Area (41 A/7), Grey County; pp. 116-118 in Summary of Field Work, 1975, by the Geological Branch, Ontario Division of Mines, Miscellaneous Paper 63, 158 p.
in prep: Quaternary Geology of the Markdale Area, Southern Ontario; Ontario Geological Survey, Report in preparation.

Grey/Owen Sound Planning Board
1981: Official Plan for the Town of Hanover; 39 p.

Liberty, B.A.
1966: Geology of the Bruce Peninsula, Ontario; Geological Survey of Canada, Paper 65-41, 8 p., 13 maps, scale 1:63 360.

Liberty, B.A. and Bolton, T.E.
1971: Paleozoic Geology of the Bruce Peninsula Area, Ontario; Geological Survey of Canada, Memoir 360, 163 p., 1 chart, 1 map, scale 1:253 440.

Logan, W.E.
1863: Superficial Geology; in Report on the Geology of Canada, Report of Progress to 1863, Geological Survey of Canada, pp. 886-930. Accompanying Atlas published 1865, 42 p.

Ontario
1982: The Pits and Quarries Control Act, 1971, Revised Statutes of Ontario, 1980, Chapter 378, Queen's Printer for Ontario.

Ontario Interministerial Committee on National Standards and Specifications (Metric Committee)
1975: Metric Practice Guide; 67 p.

Ontario Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing
1983: Municipal Directory 1983; Queen's Printer for Ontario, 241 p.

Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources
1980: Statistics 1980; Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources, 122 p.

Ontario Ministry of Treasury, Economics and Intergovernmental Affairs
1974: 1974 Municipal Directory; Queen's Printer for Ontario, 128 p.

Robertson, J.A.
1975: Mineral Deposit Studies, Mineral Potential Evaluation, and Regional Planning in Ontario; Ontario Division of Mines, Miscellaneous Paper 61, 42 p.

Sado, E.V.
1976: Granular Aggregate Inventory of Bentinck Township, Grey County, Ontario; Ontario Division of Mines, Open File Report 5167, 24 p., 5 tables and 1 map, scale 1:50 000.

Sharpe, D.R.
in prep: Quaternary Geology of the Durham Area, Southern Ontario; Ontario Geological Survey, Report in preparation.

Sharpe, D.R. and Broster, B.E.
1977: Quaternary Geology of the Durham Area, Southern Ontario; Ontario Geological Survey, Preliminary Map P. 1556, Geological Series, scale 1:50 000.
1978: Sand and Gravel Resources of the Durham Area, Grey County, Southern Ontario; Ontario Geological Survey, Preliminary Map P. 1835, Geological Series, Scale 1:50 000.

Sharpe, D.R. and Clue, J.
1978: Drift Thickness of the Durham Area, Southern Ontario; Ontario Geological Survey, Preliminary Map P. 1837, Drift Thickness Series, scale 1:50 000.

Sharpe, D.R. and Edwards, W.A.D.
1979: Quaternary Geology of the Chesley-Tiverton Area, Southern Ontario; Ontario Geological Survey, Preliminary Map P. 2314, Geological Series, scale 1:50 000.

Sharpe, D.R.; Hradsky, M. and Farrell, L.E.
1979: Drift Thickness of the Chesley-Tiverton Area, Southern Ontario; Ontario Geological Survey, Preliminary Map P. 2316, Drift Thickness Series, scale 1:50 000.

Sharpe, D.R.; Hradsky, M. and West, L.W.
1979: Bedrock Topography of Chesley-Tiverton Area, Southern Ontario; Ontario Geological Survey, Preliminary Map P. 2315, Bedrock Topography Series, scale 1:50 000.

APPENDIX A - SUGGESTED ADDITIONAL READING

American Geological Institute
1972: Glossary of Geology; Washington, D.C., 858 p.

Bauer, A.M.
1970: A Guide to Site Development and Rehabilitation of Pits and Quarries; Ontario Department of Mines, Industrial Mineral Report 33, 62 p.

Cowan, W.R.
1977: Toward the Inventory of Ontario's Mineral Aggregates; Ontario Geological Survey, Miscellaneous Paper 73, 19 p.

Fairbridge, R.W. (ed.)
1968: The Encyclopedia of Geomorphology; Encyclopedia of Earth Sciences, Vol. III, Reinhold Book Corp., N.Y., 1295 p.

Flint, R.F.
1971: Glacial and Quaternary Geology, John Wiley and Sons Inc., 892 p.

Hewitt, D.F.
1960: The Limestone Industries of Ontario; Ontario Department of Mines, Industrial Mineral Circular 5, 177 p.
1964a: Building Stones of Ontario, Part I Introduction; Ontario Department of Mines, Industrial Mineral Report 14, 43 p.
1964b: Building Stones of Ontario, Part II Limestone; Ontario Department of Mines, Industrial Report 15, 43 p.
1964c: Building Stones of Ontario, Part III Marble; Ontario Department of Mines, Industrial Mineral Report 16, 89 p.
1964d: Building Stones of Ontario, Part IV Sandstone; Ontario Department of Mines, Industrial Mineral Report 17, 57 p.
1972: Paleozoic Geology of Southern Ontario; Ontario Division of Mines, Geological Report 105, 18 p.

Hewitt, D.F. and Karrow, P.F.
1963: Sand and Gravel in Southern Ontario; Ontario Department of Mines, Industrial Mineral Report 11, 151 p.

Hewitt, D.F. and Vos, M.A.
1970: Urbanization and Rehabilitation of Pits and Quarries; Ontario Department of Mines, Industrial Mineral Report 34, 21 p.

Lowe, S.B.
1980: Trees and Shrubs for the Improvement and Rehabilitation of Pits and Quarries in Ontario; Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources, 71 p.

McLellan, A.G.; Yundt, S.E. and Dorfman, M.L.
1979: Abandoned Pits and Quarries in Ontario; Ontario Geological Survey, Miscellaneous Paper 79, 36 p.

Ontario Mineral Aggregate Working Party
1977: A Policy for Mineral Aggregate Resource Management in Ontario; Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources, 232 p.

Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources
1975: Vegetation for the Rehabilitation of Pits and Quarries; Forest Management Branch, Division of Forests, 38 p.

Peat, Marwick & Partners and
M.M. Dillon Limited
1981: Mineral Aggregate Transportation Study; Industrial Minerals Background Paper 1, 133 p. Summary Report 26 p.

Proctor and Redfern Limited
1974: Mineral Aggregate Study, Central Ontario Planning Region; Prepared for the Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources, over 100 p.

Proctor and Redfern Limited and
Gartner Lee Associates Limited
1975: Mineral Aggregate Study of Part of The Eastern Ontario Region; Prepared for the Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources, about 200 p.
1977: Mineral Aggregate Study and Geological Inventory, Southwestern Region of Ontario; Prepared for the Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources, about 200 p.

APPENDIX B - GLOSSARY

ABRASION RESISTANCE

Tests such as the Los Angeles Abrasion Test are used to measure the ability of aggregate to resist crushing and pulverizing under conditions similar to those encountered in processing and use. Measuring resistance is an important component in the evaluation of the quality and prospective uses of aggregate. Hard, durable material is preferred for road building.

ABSORPTION CAPACITY

Related to the porosity of the rock types of which an aggregate is composed. Porous rocks are subject to disintegration when absorbed liquids freeze and thaw, thus decreasing the strength of the aggregate.

AGGREGATE

Any hard, inert, construction material (sand, gravel, shells, slag, crushed stone or other mineral material) used for mixing in various-sized fragments with a cement or bituminous material to form concrete, mortar, etc., or used alone for road building or other construction. Synonyms include mineral aggregate and granular material.

ALKALI-AGGREGATE REACTION

A chemical reaction between the alkalies of portland cement and certain minerals found in rocks used for aggregate. Alkali-aggregate reactions are undesirable because they can cause expansion and cracking of concrete. Although perfectly suitable for building stone and asphalt applications, alkali-reactive aggregates should be avoided for structural concrete uses.

BLENDING

Required in cases of extreme coarseness, fineness or other irregularities in the gradation of unprocessed aggregate. Blending is done with approved sand-sized aggregate in order to satisfy the gradation requirements of the material.

CAMBRIAN

The first period of the Paleozoic Era, thought to have covered the time between 570 and 500 million years ago; the Cambrian precedes the Ordovician Period.

CLAST

An individual constituent, grain or fragment of a sediment or rock, produced by the mechanical weathering of a larger rock mass. Synonyms include particle and fragment.

CRUSHABLE AGGREGATE

Unprocessed gravel containing a minimum of 35 percent coarse aggregate larger than the No. 4 sieve (4.75 mm) as well as a minimum of 20 percent greater than the 26.5 mm sieve.

DELETERIOUS LITHOLOGY

A general term used to designate those rock types which are chemically or physically unsuited for use as construction or road-building aggregates. Such lithologies as chert, shale, siltstone and sandstone may deteriorate rapidly when exposed to traffic and other environmental conditions.

DEVONIAN

A period of the geological past thought to have covered the span of time between 395 and 345 million years ago, following the Silurian Period. Rocks formed in the Devonian Period are among the youngest found in Ontario.

DOLOSTONE

A carbonate sedimentary rock consisting chiefly of the mineral dolomite and containing relatively little calcite (dolostone is also known as dolomite).

DRIFT

A general term for all unconsolidated rock debris transported from one place and deposited

in another; distinguished from underlying bedrock. In North America, glacial activity has been the dominant mode of transport and deposition of drift. Synonyms include overburden and surficial deposit.

DRUMLIN

A low, smoothly rounded, elongated hill, mound, or ridge composed of glacial materials. These landforms were deposited beneath an advancing ice sheet, and were shaped by its flow.

EOLIAN

Pertaining to the wind, especially with respect to landforms whose constituents were transported and deposited by wind activity. Sand dunes are an example of an eolian landform.

FINES

A general term used to describe the size fraction of an aggregate which passes (is finer than) the No. 200 mesh screen (.075 mm). Also described informally as "dirt", these particles are in the silt and clay size range.

GLACIAL LOBE

A tongue-like projection from the margin of the main mass of an ice cap or ice sheet. During the Pleistocene Epoch several lobes of the Laurentide continental ice sheet occupied the Great Lakes basins. These lobes advanced and retreated numerous times during the Pleistocene, producing the complex arrangement of glacial material and landforms found in southern Ontario.

GRADATION

The proportion of material of each particle size, or the frequency distribution of the various sizes which constitute a sediment. The strength, durability, permeability and stability of an aggregate depend to a great extent on its gradation. The size limits for different particles are as follows:

Boulder	more than 200 mm
Cobbles	75-200 mm
Coarse Gravel	26.5-75 mm
Fine Gravel	4.75-26.5 mm
Coarse Sand	2-4.75 mm
Medium Sand	0.425-2 mm
Fine Sand	0.075-0.425 mm
Silt, Clay	less than 0.075 mm

GRANULAR BASE COURSE

Components of the pavement structure of a road, which are placed on the subgrade and are designed to provide strength, stability and drainage, as well as support for surfacing materials. Several types have been defined: Granular Base Course A consists of crushed and processed aggregate and has relatively stringent quality standards in comparison to Granular Base Course B and C which are usually pit-run or other unprocessed aggregate.

HOT-LAID (OR ASPHALTIC) AGGREGATE

Bituminous, cemented aggregates used in the construction of pavements either as surface or bearing course (H.L. 1, 3 and 4), or as binder course (H.L. 2, 6 and 8) used to bind the surface course to the underlying granular base course.

LITHOLOGY

The description of rocks on the basis of such characteristics as color, structure, mineralogic composition and grain size. Generally, the description of the physical character of a rock.

MELTWATER CHANNEL

A drainage way, often terraced, produced by water flowing away from a melting glacier margin.

ORDOVICIAN

An early period of the Paleozoic Era thought to have covered the span of time between 500 and 435 million years ago.

PALEOZOIC ERA

One of the major divisions of the geologic time scale thought to have covered the time between 570 and 230 million years ago, the Paleozoic Era (or Ancient Life Era) is subdivided into six geologic periods, of which only four (Cambrian, Ordovician, Silurian and Devonian) can be recognized in southern Ontario.

PETROGRAPHIC EXAMINATION

An aggregate quality test based on known field performance of various rock types. The test result is a Petrographic Number (P.N.). The higher the P.N. the lower the quality of the aggregate.

PLEISTOCENE

An Epoch of the recent geological past including the time from approximately 1.8 million years ago to 7000 years ago. Much of the Pleistocene was characterized by extensive glacial activity and is popularly referred to as the "Great Ice Age".

POSSIBLE RESOURCE

Reserve estimates based largely on broad knowledge of the geological character of the deposit and for which there are few, if any samples or measurements. The estimates are based on assumed continuity or repetition for which there are reasonable geological indications.

SHALE

A fine-grained, sedimentary rock formed by the consolidation of clay, silt or mud and characterized by well developed bedding planes, along which the rock breaks readily into thin layers. The term shale is also commonly used for fissile claystone, siltstone and mudstone.

SILURIAN

An early period of the Paleozoic Era thought to have covered the time between 435 and 395 million years ago. The Silurian follows the Ordovician Period and precedes the Devonian Period.

SOUNDNESS

The ability of the components of an aggregate to withstand the effects of various weathering processes and agents. Unsound lithologies are subject to disintegration caused by the expansion of absorbed solutions. This may seriously impair the performance of road-building and construction aggregates.

TILL

Unsorted and unstratified rock debris, deposited directly by glaciers, and ranging in size from clay to large boulders.

WISCONSINAN

Pertaining to the last glacial period of the Pleistocene Epoch in North America. The Wisconsinan began approximately 100 000 years ago and ended approximately 7000 years ago. The glacial deposits and landforms of southern Ontario are predominantly the result of glacial activity during the Wisconsinan Stage.

APPENDIX C - GEOLOGY OF SAND AND GRAVEL DEPOSITS

The type, distribution, and extent of sand and gravel deposits in southern Ontario are the result of extensive glacial and glacially influenced activity in Wisconsinan time during the Pleistocene Epoch, approximately 100 000 to 7000 years ago. The deposit types reflect the different depositional environments that existed during the melting and retreat of the continental ice masses, and can readily be differentiated on the basis of their morphology, structure, and texture. The deposit types are described below.

GLACIOFLUVIAL DEPOSITS

These deposits can be divided into two broad categories: those that were formed in contact with (or in close proximity to) glacial ice, and those that were deposited by meltwaters carrying materials beyond the ice margin.

ICE-CONTACT TERRACES (ICT)

These are glaciofluvial features deposited between the glacial margin and a confining topographic high, such as the side of a valley. The structure of the deposits may be similar to that of outwash deposits, but in most cases the sorting and grading of the material is more variable and the bedding is discontinuous due to extensive slumping. The probability of locating large amounts of crushable aggregate is moderate, and extraction may be expensive due to the variability of the deposits both in terms of quality and grain size distribution.

KAMES (K)

Kames are defined as mounds of poorly sorted sand and gravel deposited by meltwater in depressions or fissures on the ice surface or at its margin. During glacial retreat, the melting of supporting ice causes collapse of the deposits producing internal structures characterized by bedding discontinuities. The deposits consist mainly of irregularly bedded and crossbedded, poorly sorted sand and gravel. The present forms of the deposits include single mounds, linear ridges (crevasse fillings) or complex groups of landforms. The latter are occasionally described as "undifferentiated ice-contact stratified drift" (IC) when detailed subsurface information is unavailable. Since kames commonly contain large amounts of fine-grained material and are characterized by considerable variability, there is generally a low to moderate probability of discovering large amounts of good-quality, crushable aggregate. Extractive problems encountered in these deposits are mainly the excessive variability of the aggregate and the rare presence of excess fines (silt- and clay-sized particles).

ESKERS (E)

Eskers are narrow, sinuous ridges of sand and gravel deposited by meltwaters flowing in tunnels within or at the base of glaciers, or in channels on the ice surface. Eskers vary greatly in size. Many, though not all eskers consist of a central core of poorly sorted and stratified gravel characterized by a wide range in grain size. The core material is often draped on its flanks by better sorted and stratified sand and gravel. The deposits have a high probability of containing a large proportion of crushable aggregate, and since they are generally built above the surrounding ground surface, are convenient extraction sites. For these reasons esker deposits have been traditional aggregate sources throughout southern Ontario, and are significant components of the total resources of many areas.

Some planning constraints and opportunities are inherent in the nature of the deposits. Because of their linear nature, the deposits commonly extend across several property boundaries leading to unorganized extractive development at numerous small pits. On the other hand, because of their form, eskers can be easily and inexpensively extracted and are amenable to rehabilitation and sequential land use.

UNDIFFERENTIATED ICE-CONTACT STRATIFIED DRIFT (IC)

This designation may include deposits from several ice-contact, depositional environments which usually form extensive, complex landforms. It is not feasible to identify individual areas of coarse-grained material within such deposits due to their lack of continuity and grain size variability. They are given a qualitative rating based on existing pit and other subsurface data.

OUTWASH (OW)

Outwash deposits consist of sand and gravel laid down by meltwaters beyond the margin of the ice lobes. The deposits occur as sheets or as terraced valley fills (valley trains) and may be very large in extent and thickness. Well developed outwash deposits have good horizontal bedding and are uniform in grain size distribution. Outwash deposited near the glacier's margin is much more variable in texture and structure. The probability of locating useful crushable aggregates in outwash deposits is moderate to high depending on how much information on size, distribution and thickness is available.

ALLUVIUM (AL)

Alluvium is a general term for clay, silt, sand, gravel, or similar unconsolidated material deposited during postglacial time by a stream as sorted or semi-sorted sediment, on its bed or on its floodplain. The probability of locating large amounts of crushable aggregate in alluvial deposits is low, and it has generally low value due to the presence of excess silt- and clay-sized material. There are few large postglacial alluvium deposits in Ontario.

GLACIOLACUSTRINE DEPOSITS

GLACIOLACUSTRINE BEACH DEPOSITS (LB)

These are relatively narrow, linear features formed by wave action at the shores of glacial lakes that existed at various times during the deglaciation of southern Ontario. Well developed lacustrine beaches are usually less than 20 feet (6 m) thick. The aggregate is well sorted and stratified and sand-sized material commonly predominates. The composition and size distribution of the deposit depends on the nature of the source material. The probability of obtaining crushable aggregate is high when the material is developed from coarse-grained materials such as a stony till, and low when developed from fine-grained materials. Beaches are relatively narrow, linear deposits, so that extractive operations are often numerous and extensive.

GLACIOLACUSTRINE DELTAS (LD)

These features were formed where streams or rivers of glacial meltwater flowed into lakes and deposited their suspended sediment. In southern Ontario such deposits tend to consist mainly of sand and abundant silt. However, in near-ice and ice-contact positions, coarse material may be present. Although deltaic deposits may be large, the probability of obtaining coarse material is generally low.

GLACIOLACUSTRINE PLAINS (LP)

The nearly level surface marking the floor of an extinct glacial lake. The sediments which form the plain are predominantly fine to medium sand, silt, and clay, and were deposited in relatively deep water. Lacustrine deposits are generally of low value as aggregate sources due to their fine grain size and lack of crushable material. In some aggregate-poor areas, lacustrine deposits may constitute valuable sources of fill and some granular base course aggregate.

GLACIAL DEPOSITS

END MORAINES (EM)

These are belts of glacial drift deposited at, and parallel to, glacier margins. End moraines commonly consist of ice-contact stratified drift and in such instances are usually called kame moraines. Kame moraines commonly result from deposition between two glacial lobes (interlobate moraines). The probability of locating aggregates within such features is moderate to low. Exploration and development costs are high. Moraines may be very large and contain vast aggregate resources, but the location of the best resource areas within the moraine is usually poorly defined.

EOLIAN DEPOSITS

WINDBLOWN DEPOSITS (WD)

Windblown deposits are those formed by the transport and deposition of sand by winds. The form of the deposits ranges from extensive, thin layers to well developed linear and crescentic ridges known as dunes. Most windblown deposits in southern Ontario are derived from, and deposited on, pre-existing lacustrine sand plain deposits. Windblown sediments almost always consist of fine to coarse sand and are usually well sorted. The probability of locating crushable aggregate in windblown deposits is very low.

APPENDIX D - GEOLOGY OF BEDROCK DEPOSITS



Figure 2 - Bedrock Geology of Southern Ontario

BEDROCK SUITABLE FOR CRUSHED STONE PRODUCTS

BASS ISLANDS FORMATION (UPPER SILURIAN)

(Includes the Bertie Formation of the Niagara Peninsula) Composition: Medium- to massive-bedded, aphanitic, brown dolostone with shaly partings. Thickness: 35 to 60 feet (11 to 18m) near Hagersville. Uses: Quarried for crushed stone on the Niagara Peninsula at Fort Erie, Cayuga, Hagersville, and Dunville. Los Angeles Abrasion Test: 17-35% loss; Absorption: 1.4%. Shaly parts are unsuitable for aggregate due to high soundness losses.

BOBCAYGEON FORMATION (MIDDLE ORDOVICIAN)

Composition: Compact, homogeneous, medium to thin-bedded, fine-grained limestone with some argillaceous and shaly partings. Thickness: The lower unit is 40 to 72 feet (12 to 22 m) thick in the east and the remainder of the formation is 40 feet (12 m). Uses: Quarried at Kirkfield and Marysville for crushed stone. The Bobcaygeon Formation has consistently acceptable quality for granular base course materials and concrete.

BOIS BLANC FORMATION (LOWER-MIDDLE DEVONIAN)

Composition: Brownish grey, medium-crystalline, medium- to thin-bedded, cherty limestone, commonly fossiliferous. Limestone may be silty or sandy in places. Thickness: 9 to 200 feet (3 to 61 m). Uses: Quarried at Hagersville, Cayuga, and Port Colborne for crushed stone. High chert content makes much of the material unsuitable for concrete aggregate. Los Angeles Abrasion Test: 14-28% loss; Soundness Test: 4-10% loss; Absorption: 0.7-2.0%.

DUNDEE FORMATION (MIDDLE DEVONIAN)

Composition: Fine- to medium-crystalline, brownish grey, medium- to thick-bedded, dolomitic limestone with shaly partings, sandy layers, and chert in some areas. Thickness: 60 to 160 feet (18 to 49 m). Uses: Quarried near Port Dover and Pelee Island for crushed stone.

Used at St. Marys for portland cement. Los Angeles Abrasion Test: 22-32% loss; Absorption: 0-4%.

GULL RIVER FORMATION (MIDDLE ORDOVICIAN)

Composition: Member A: thin- to thick-bedded, interbedded, grey argillaceous limestone and buff to green dolostone with a maximum thickness of 60 feet (18 m). Members B and C are dense, aphanitic limestones with argillaceous dolostone interbeds. Uses: Quarried at Kirkfield, Victoria County, and Uhthoff for crushed stone. The product is generally fresh and compact with good cubic-shaped factor, low clay content, low absorption, and low soundness losses. Smooth particle surfaces may cause adhesion problems for asphalt. There is some alkali reactivity in a few of the layers.

LOCKPORT AND AMABEL FORMATIONS (MIDDLE SILURIAN)

Composition: Amabel Formation (Waterdown to the Bruce Peninsula): massive, fine-crystalline dolostone, with reef facies dolostone near Georgetown. Lockport Formation (lateral facies equivalent to the Amabel Formation from Waterdown to Niagara Falls): thin- to massive-bedded, fine- to medium-grained dolostone. Thickness: Amabel Formation: maximum observed thickness of 84 feet (26 m). Lockport Formation: up to 130 feet (40 m). Uses: The Lockport and Amabel Formations have been used to produce lime, crushed stone, concrete aggregate and building stone throughout their area of occurrence, and are a resource of provincial significance. Los Angeles Abrasion Test: 21-35% loss; Soundness Test: 2.0% loss; Absorption: 0.4-1.6%.

ONONDAGA FORMATION (MIDDLE DEVONIAN)

(Equivalent to the Detroit River Group, with a textural change) Composition: Edgecliff Member: medium-bedded, fine- to medium-grained, dark grey cherty limestone with an estimated thickness of 25 to 30 feet (8 to 9 m). Clarence Member: massive-bedded, dark grey brown, fine-grained, very cherty limestone having an estimated thickness of 26 feet (8 m). Moorehouse Member: medium-bedded, dark grey brown or purplish brown, fine- to coarse-grained, variably cherty limestone with an estimated thickness of 15 to 25 feet (5 to 8 m). Uses: Quarried for crushed stone on the Niagara Peninsula at Welland and Port Colborne. High chert content makes much of the material unsuitable for concrete aggregate.

OTTAWA FORMATION (MIDDLE ORDOVICIAN)

Composition: Lower Phase (Lowville and Pamela Beds): shale, some sandstone and dolostone. Thickness: 100 feet (30 m). Middle Phase (Hull, Rockland, and Leray Beds): pure, thick-bedded, crystalline limestone. Thickness: 150 feet (46 m) near Ottawa. Upper Phase (Cobourg and Sherman Fall Beds): pure and impure crystalline limestone with few to numerous shaly partings, 450 to 475 feet (137 to 145 m) thick near Ottawa. Uses: The Leray, Rockland, and Hull Beds have been quarried extensively for crushed stone and for building stone. In addition, the Hull Beds are an excellent source of lime for cement production and agricultural uses.

OXFORD FORMATION (LOWER ORDOVICIAN)

Composition: Medium- to thick-bedded, grey dolostone, with some shaly partings. Thickness: 240 feet (73 m). Uses: Quarried for crushed stone (road and concrete aggregate) at Ottawa, Brockville, and Smiths Falls.

BEDROCK SUITABLE FOR LIME PRODUCTION AND OTHER CHEMICAL USES

DETROIT RIVER GROUP (MIDDLE DEVONIAN)

(Equivalent to the Onondaga Formation in the Niagara Peninsula, with a textural change) Composition: Near Beachville, the group consists of medium- to micro-crystalline, medium-bedded, high-purity limestone. It grades northwards near St. Marys to soft, evenly bedded, fine-grained dolostone with bituminous laminae. Massive, porous, reef facies material also occurs to the north (Formosa Reef Limestone). Thickness: 100 feet (30 m) at Beachville, 350 feet (107 m) at Clinton. Uses: The most important source of high-purity limestone in Ontario is the Lucas Formation of the Detroit River Group at Beachville. Detroit River limestone produces 80% of Ontario's cement. Its dolomitic reefal facies is also important for lime production to the north. It is generally unsuitable for crushed stone. The Anderdon Member of the Lucas Formation is quarried at Amherstburg for

crushed stone.

GRENVILLE MARBLE (PRECAMBRIAN)

Composition: Recrystallized white limestone and dolostone, fine- to coarse-grained, usually of high chemical purity. Uses: Lime production, but also in small amounts for terrazzo chips, poultry grit, decorative stone, and building stone.

GUELPH FORMATION (MIDDLE SILURIAN)

Composition: Aphanitic to medium-crystalline, thick-bedded, soft, porous dolostone, characterized in places by extensive vuggy, porous reefal facies dolostone of high chemical purity. The Guelph Formation and the underlying Amabel Formation have a combined thickness of 200 feet (61 m) on the Niagara Peninsula and more than 400 feet (122 m) on the Bruce Peninsula. Uses: The main use is for dolomitic lime in the construction industry. The formation is quarried near Hamilton and Guelph.

LINDSAY FORMATION (MIDDLE ORDOVICIAN)

Composition: Lower Member: fine-crystalline, rubbly, nodular-weathering limestone. Upper Member: grey calcareous claystone with shaly partings and bioclastic layers. The rock is "soft" and weathers to rubble. Both members are characterized by low dolomite content and by numerous clayey partings. Uses: Quarried at Picton, Ogden Point and Bowmanville for cement. The formation is generally unsuitable for crushed stone, concrete aggregate, or granular base course.

VERULAM FORMATION (MIDDLE ORDOVICIAN)

Composition: Fossiliferous, pure to argillaceous limestone and interbedded calcareous shale. The rock is not resistant to erosion and commonly weathers to rubble. Thickness: 200 to 300 feet (61 to 91 m). Uses: Quarried at Picton, Ogden Point, and Mara Township for cement. The formation is unsuitable for crushed stone due to clay impurities, many clayey interbeds, and low abrasion resistance, high soundness losses and poor freeze and thaw resistance.

BEDROCK SUITABLE FOR BRICK AND TILE MANUFACTURE

GEORGIAN BAY FORMATION (UPPER ORDOVICIAN)

(Formerly known as the Meaford-Dundas and Blue Mountain shales in the Toronto and Bruce Peninsula areas) Composition: Soft, fissile, blue grey shale with limey or sandy lenses in a few places. Thickness: 640 feet (195 m) at Toronto. Uses: Several producers in Metro Toronto and Cooksville produce brick and structural tile. Lightweight aggregate is also produced at Streetsville by heat expansion of the shale.

HAMILTON GROUP (MIDDLE DEVONIAN)

Composition: Grey shale with interbeds of crystalline and cherty limestone. The group has six formations, but only the Arkona is of commercial value. It is a soft, light grey, calcareous shale which is plastic and easily worked when wet. Thickness: 80 to 300 feet (24 to 91 m). Uses: The Arkona Formation is extracted at Thedford and near Arkona for production of drainage tile and brick.

QUEENSTON FORMATION (UPPER ORDOVICIAN)

Composition: Red, thin- to thick-bedded, sandy to argillaceous shale with green mottling and banding. Thickness: 400 to 500 feet (122 to 152 m). Uses: There are several large shale quarries developed in the Queenston Formation in the Toronto-Hamilton region and one at Russell, near Ottawa. All produce brick for construction. The Queenston Formation is the most important source material for brick manufacture in the Province.

BEDROCK SUITABLE FOR OTHER INDUSTRIAL PRODUCTS

NEPEAN (POTSDAM) FORMATION (CAMBRO-ORDOVICIAN)

Composition: Creamy, coarse-grained, silica sandstone. Uses: Quarried throughout its area of outcrop for building stone, decorative stone, abrasives, and for glass making.

SALINA FORMATION (UPPER SILURIAN)

Composition: Grey and red shale, brown dolomite, and, in places, salt, anhydrite, and gypsum.

The formation consists predominantly of evaporite deposits with up to eight members identified. Uses: Gypsum is mined at Hagersville, Caledonia, and Drumbo. Salt is mined at Goderich and is produced from brine wells at Amherstburg, Windsor, and Sarnia.

WHITBY FORMATION (UPPER ORDOVICIAN)

(Formerly known as Collingwood Shale near Toronto) Composition: Brown to black fissile shale. Uses: Quarried at Bowmanville for use in cement production. Testing indicates that the Whitby Formation may produce satisfactory lightweight expanded aggregate.

MAPS NOT

FILMED

CARTES

OMISES